Effects of cholesterol and unsaturated DOPC lipid on chain packing of saturated gel-phase DPPC bilayers
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Abstract. Wide angle x-ray scattering (WAXS) from oriented lipid multilayers was used to study the effect of adding cholesterol (Chol) or 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC) to gel-phase 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DPPC) bilayers. Small quantities (X < 0.10 mole fraction) of both molecules disrupt the tight packing of tilted chains of pure gel-phase DPPC, forming a more disordered, untilted phase. The addition of larger quantities of DOPC causes the sample to phase-separate into a gel phase, characterized by a narrow WAXS peak, and liquid disordered phase, characterized by wide, diffuse WAXS scattering. In contrast, two WAXS peaks indicative of two coexisting phases were not observed in Chol/DPPC mixtures (XChol = 0.07 to 0.40). Instead, Chol caused a gradual increase in the width of the WAXS peak, consistent with a gradual change from a more gel-like to a more liquid-like state rather than passing through a region of two phase coexistence. Our WAXS data include a huge amount of information. A new method of analysis suggests that WAXS data may provide definitive results relating to the disagreements between previously published phase diagrams for Chol/DPPC.
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Introduction

Cholesterol (Chol) has an important role in maintaining a cell membrane that has high fluidity (fast lateral diffusion) but is relatively rigid and impermeable (Lindblom et al. 1981; Yeagle 1985; Finegold 1993). These seemingly conflicting properties result from two of Chol’s rather unique properties: i) its ability to orientationally order chains of fluid phase-lipids (Sankaram and Thompson 1990; Huang and Feigenson 1999), which leads to increased bilayer thickness (Gallová et al. 2004; Kučerka et al. 2008), smaller partial molecular volume (Greenwood et al. 2006) and area (Edholm and Nagle 2005), and decreased permeability (Mathai et al. 2008); and ii) its ability to cause positional/translational disordering of gel-phase lipids while
still maintaining a high degree of orientational ordering (Ipsen et al. 1987). The high Chol content state characterized by low positional order and fast diffusion but high orientational/conformational order is termed the "liquid ordered (L_0) phase.

Mixtures of dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine (DPPC) and Chol are perhaps the most studied system for characterizing the change from the well-ordered gel phase in pure DPPC to the L_0 phase with increasing Chol content. Pure DPPC is in a tightly packed arrangement of tilted chains (L_P phase) below its pretransition temperature (T_P = 34°C) (Tardieu et al. 1973; Janiak et al. 1976; Tristram-Nagle et al. 1993). It is also generally agreed that the addition of sufficient amounts of Chol results in a state that is conventionally called the L_0 phase. However, there is disagreement over how the L_0 phase develops thermodynamically as a function of Chol concentration.

The much-cited Vist and Davis (1990) phase diagram proposes gel and L_0 phase coexistence for mole fraction of Chol (X_{Chol}) from 0.07 to 0.22 when the temperature is below the main transition temperature which occurs at T_m = 37°C for deuterated DPPC (the ripple phase was ignored in that phase diagram). That phase diagram also has the coexistence of liquid disordered (L_d) and L_0 phases at higher temperatures. Similar phase diagrams have been published for other binary sterol/lipid mixtures, such as Chol/DMPC (Almeida et al. 1993) and ergosterol/DPPC (Hsueh et al. 2005). Different techniques report different phase boundaries; for instance, an early volumetric study presented evidence for phase coexistence for X_{Chol} = 0.20 to 0.29 for T < T_m (41°C for undeuterated DPPC) (Melchior et al. 1980). Some methods report no phase coexistence, most notably fluorescence microscopy images of giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs), which are uniform at all temperatures for Chol/DPPC and other binary Chol/phospholipid mixtures (Feigenson and Buboltz 2001; Veatch and Keller 2005). The microscopy results suggest that any spatial variations in composition could only involve domains less than one micron in diameter.

Differences in time and distance scales probed by different techniques (Bloom and Thewalt 1995) do not fully account for discrepancies in the literature. For instance, reports of phase coexistence in the Chol/DPPC system using nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and/or differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) strongly disagree over the location of phase boundaries and nature of the phases (Vist and Davis 1990; Huang et al. 1993; McMullen and McElhaney 1995). Whether nanoscale heterogeneities, observable by spectroscopic methods but not by optical microscopy, constitute true thermodynamic phases has also been questioned (Huang et al. 1993; Feigenson and Buboltz 2001; Heerklotz and Tsamaloukas 2006; McConnell and Radhakrishnan 2006; Veatch et al. 2006; Krivanek et al. 2008).

Most of the x-ray diffraction literature concerning phase behavior of Chol/DPPC mixtures focuses on the low temperature region, and again there are differences. There are some reports of gel/liquid phase coexistence based on the observation of two lamellar repeat (D) spacings in low angle x-ray scattering (LAXS, often called SAXS) (Rand et al. 1980; Meyer et al. 1997) or two wide angle x-ray scattering (WAXS) spacings d (Engelman and Rothman 1972), but these reports are not in agreement with the location of phase boundaries (X_{Chol} = 0.03 to 0.10 in Rand et al. (1980), Meyer et al. (1997); and X_{Chol} = 0.20 to 0.33 in Engelman and Rothman (1972)). In other reports, no x-ray evidence was found for gel/liquid phase separation in Chol/DPPC (Ladbrooke et al. 1968; Karmakar et al. 2006) and Chol/DMPC (Hui and He 1983; Finean 1990). There are also indirect x-ray reports of high temperature L_d/L_0 coexistence in Chol/DPPC mixtures (Richter et al. 2001; Petrache et al. 2005; Chen et al. 2007), but two clearly resolved lamellar repeat or WAXS spacings were not observed in these studies. The majority of x-ray measurements have been carried out on unoriented multilamellar vesicle (MLV) samples, but in recent small angle x-ray studies of Chol/DPPC oriented samples (Karmakar and Raghunathan 2003), experiments at 98% relative humidity revealed a new gel phase and novel phase diagram. However, this new phase was not observed in a subsequent study by the same group conducted at higher hydration (Karmakar et al. 2006), as only single lamellar repeats were observed over a range of Chol concentrations.

As discussed in a previous publication (Mills et al. 2008b), LAXS can be inadequate to detect the presence/absence of phase coexistence because the absence of two lamellar repeats does not necessarily imply a single phase (Rand et al. 1980). Because it is capable of detecting phase separation within single bilayers, WAXS has an advantage over lamellar repeat scattering. Hui and He (1983) published one of the most extensive wide angle scattering studies of binary Chol/lipid mixtures in isotropic MLV samples. For X_{Chol} = 0.075 to 0.45 in DMPC and temperatures ranging from 2 to 40°C, Hui and He (1983) observed only a single broad wide angle x-ray and electron diffraction band. Because of the failure to observe the coexistence of a narrow WAXS peak (characteristic of gel) and broader WAXS peak (characteristic of fluid), this study concluded that the gradual change in the wide angle pattern "indicates that there is a continuous change in structure as the Chol content increases, rather than a coexistence of two phase-separated regions in the specimen."

Oriented samples can give information about orientational ordering not available from unoriented MLV samples, as has been shown for fluid phases (Levine and Wilkins 1971; Mills et al. 2008a) but also for gel phase packing and orientation (Smith et al. 1990; Tristram-Nagle et al. 1993). Therefore, a re-examination of the Chol/DPPC system focusing on WAXS data from oriented multilayer samples is of value not
only for examining the question of phase coexistence, but also in better characterizing the structure (positional and orientational ordering) in these mixtures. Although the high temperature ($T > T_m$) portion of the Vist and Davis phase diagram has received great attention because of the possible prevalence of $L_d/L_o$ phase coexistence to cell membrane rafts, Vist and Davis’s proposed phase diagram is strongly based on data collected in the low temperature ($T < T_m$) region. The low temperature behavior of these mixtures (the focus of this report) is of interest because of the conflict between Hui and He’s result and phase diagrams suggesting coexistence and also because of the large structural changes in gel-phase chain packing caused by Chol, which are directly measurable with WAXS.

While a single miscible fluid phase with smoothly changing properties is thermodynamically feasible, the transition from a solid with crystalline long range order to a disordered fluid most commonly occurs via a first order phase transition; therefore, a gradual/continuous change from pure DPPC gel to the $L_o$ phase at high Chol concentrations, which are directly measurable with WAXS, is strongly based on data collected in the low temperature ($T < T_m$) region. The low temperature behavior of these mixtures (the focus of this report) is of interest because of the conflict between Hui and He’s result and phase diagrams which predict gel/$L_o$ coexistence and also because of the large structural changes in gel-phase chain packing caused by Chol, which are directly measurable with WAXS.

For all sample preparations (oriented and unoriented), mixtures of DOPC (Avanti Polar Lipids, Alabaster, AL), DOPC (Avanti Polar Lipids), and Chol (Avanti Polar Lipids; Nu Chek Prep, Elysian, MN) were prepared from stock solutions in high-performance liquid chromatography grade chloroform (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). Purity $>99.5\%$ was confirmed by thin layer chromatography. The molar concentrations of the phospholipid stock solutions were determined by phosphate assay (Kingsley and Feigenson 1979).

Oriented samples consisting of ~1800 bilayers (10 μm thick) were prepared using the rock and roll technique (Tristram-Nagle 2007). For oriented samples, 4 mg total of lipid was dissolved in 200 μl organic solvent (chloroform/methanol in ratios varying from 3 : 1 to 20 : 1) and then deposited on a 30 × 15 × 1 mm silicon wafer. After thorough drying, the samples were trimmed to a 5 mm strip occupying only the center of the 15-mm wide silicon wafer. The samples were annealed in a high-humidity chamber at 50°C for typically 4–12 h, and then allowed to slowly cool to room temperature over ~4–8 h. According to previously reported rocking curves (Mills et al. 2008a), this method produced samples with mosaicsities less than 0.03° half width at half maximum (HWHM).

As has been described elsewhere, the sample chamber (Kučerka et al. 2005) provided a carefully regulated thermal environment that allowed achievement of full hydration from the vapor for oriented samples. However, it is a challenge to reach full hydration without depositing a layer of excess water on top of the oriented lipid stack (“flooding”). Water scattering in the WAXS region can obscure the lipid scattering (Mills et al. 2008a). To avoid flooding, we typically took WAXS data with $D = 2–5$ Å below the fully hydrated value that was determined from experiments on unoriented MLVs immersed in water in capillaries.

x-ray data were collected at both the Cornell high energy synchrotron source (CHESS) and at a rotating anode source, and oriented data presented here are labeled “synchrotron” or “rotating anode.” The synchrotron setup at the CHESS D-1 station has been previously described (Mills et al. 2008a). Scattering as a function of detector pixels was converted to intensity as a function of the scattering wavevector, $q = 4\pi\sin\theta/\lambda$, where $2\theta$ is the total angle through which the x-rays scatter and $\lambda$ is the x-ray wavelength. Further data collection and analysis procedures, which were essentially the same for the synchrotron and rotating anode, have also been described in detail previously (Mills et al. 2008a).

Rotating anode x-ray measurements on oriented samples at Carnegie Mellon used a 1 × 1 mm beam from the Cu Ka line ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å) of a Rigaku RUH3R rotating anode (Woodlands, TX) equipped with a Xenocs FOX2D focusing collimator. Scans were collected using a 1K × 1K Rigaku Mercury CCD detector with a pixel size of 68.0 μm/pixel.
Sample-to-detector (S) distances of 96.64 and 97.7 mm were calibrated with silver behenate (D = 58.367 Å). Temperature was controlled with a Julabo controller (Allentown, PA) and monitored with a Cole-Parmer thermistor thermometer (Vernon Hills, IL). As for the synchrotron setup, the incident angle α of the beam on the flat samples was adjustable to 0.01° precision by a rotation stage inside the chamber. Data were collected at α = 0.1°, and mylar window scattering was eliminated from the images by subtracting a "light background" in which the sample was rotated to −α. During the long 10–20 min W AXS exposures, an extension on a Mo beamstop blocked the strong lamellar orders. This extension was moved in order to obtain D spacing measurements, which were short exposures (~30 s) collected while continuously rotating the oriented sample from α = −3° to 7°.

MLVs in excess water were prepared in several ways and measured with different setups. Preparation and setup conditions are referred to in the text as MLV 1, MLV 2 and MLV 3 and are described as follows:

- **MLV 1:** MLVs were made using the "low temperature trapping" method. This method, which helps prevent artificial Chol precipitation, has been described in detail elsewhere (Huang et al. 1999). Briefly, 1.5 mg lipid was lyophilized from chloroform, while controlling the temperature so that the chloroform remained solid. After removal of bulk solvent, the powders were kept at ~20°C under vacuum for about 12 h. Samples were hydrated with excess water, vortexed, and then loaded into 1 mm glass capillaries (Charles Supper Co., Cambridge, MA) and centrifuged at 5000 × g for 15 min to produce a dense pellet. Capillaries were sealed by paraffin wax under argon gas. x-ray diffraction measurements at room temperature (T = 24°C) were performed at the A-1 beamline at the macromolecular diffraction facility at the CHESS (MacCHESS) as described previously (Huang et al. 1999). An S distance of 295 mm was used, which allowed the low and wide angle diffraction data (q = 0.06 Å⁻¹ to 1.65 Å⁻¹) to be collected on the same image. Exposure times were 10–100 s.

- **MLV 2:** WAXS data from one DOPC/DPPC mixture was presented in Figure 2 using the sample preparation protocol and x-ray setup previously described (Zhao et al. 2007). Briefly, ~5 mg lipid was lyophilized at −10°C from a cyclohexane/methanol mixture (containing <10% methanol) for 1–2 h. Then 6 μl of water were added to the lipid, yielding a final concentration of ~1 : 1 water : lipid. Samples were then cycled 3 times between −196°C (liquid nitrogen temperature) and 75°C, mechanically mixing during each cycle. x-ray measurements at Cornell used a Ni-filtered Cu Kα line (λ = 1.5418 Å) of a Rigaku RU3HR rotating anode Woodlands x-ray source operated at 38 kV and 50 mA. x-rays were focused using orthogonal Franks mirrors, and tantalum slits trimmed the beam to 1 × 1 mm. WAXS images were collected on a homebuilt 1K × 1K pixel CCD detector (Tate et al. 1997). The S distance of 95.7 mm was calibrated with p-bromo-benzoic acid. Exposure time was 40 min. Sample temperature was controlled with a water-cooled Peltier controller (Melcor Inc., Trenton, NJ). The beamline including sample chamber were kept evacuated during measurements to reduce air scattering background.

- **MLV 3:** Lamellar repeats for DOPC/DPPC and Chol/DPPC mixtures have been previously reported (see Supplement Data S5 for Mills et al. 2008a). Briefly, these MLVs were prepared by adding water to a dry lipid film for a final water : lipid mass ratio of 5 : 1 to 10 : 1 and then carrying the lipid through three freeze/thaw cycles (Mills et al. 2008a). The x-ray measurements were performed using the same rotating anode and detector as described for MLV 2, but with a longer S distance of 385.8 or 306.7 mm, as calibrated with silver behenate.

**Results and Discussion**

**DOPC removes DPPC chain tilt and induces gel/liquid phase coexistence**

Fig. 1A shows oriented WAXS data from pure DPPC at 25°C. As has been known for many years (Tardieu et al. 1973; McIntosh 1978; Tristram-Nagle et al. 1993) DPPC has an equatorial (2,0) peak and the (1,1) peak lifted off from the equator. This is often designated the Lβ′I phase (Smith et al. 1990) where the final I subscript indicates that the chains are tilted toward nearest neighbors. The satellite peaks (Sun et al. 1994) on the (1,1) Bragg rod may also be seen in Fig. 1A. The q value of the (2,0) peak in Fig. 1A is resolution limited, so it shows experimental resolution for this study.

In contrast, scattering from pure Lα phase DOPC is very broad as shown in Fig. 1C. The breadth in the q value direction indicates positional disorder and the breadth in the φ direction indicates orientational disorder (Mills et al. 2008a). Fig. 1B shows data for equimolar DOPC/DPPC. There is clearly a broad diffuse band that is instrumentally resolved. We assign this to an Lα phase that is similar to the Lα phase of pure DOPC. There is also a prominent, relatively sharp peak that we ascribe to a gel phase. This peak is broader than the gel phase peaks in Fig. 1A. The fact that this peak is instrumentally resolved means that there is less positional order in this DOPC/DPPC gel phase than in the pure DPPC gel phase.
The gel phase in the DOPC/DPPC mixture is even more strikingly different from the pure DPPC gel phase as there is only one relatively sharp component centered on the equator in Fig. 1B. This suggests that it is an L\textit{β} phase with an average chain tilt of zero. These differences compared to the pure DPPC gel phase in Fig. 1A are proof that it is not pure DPPC and that whatever concentration of DOPC is in the gel phase is sufficient to remove the tilt of the hydrocarbon chains. It may be noted that this disagrees with a recent polarization microscopy study (Li and Cheng 2006) that concluded that the gel phase coexisting with the fluid phase in DOPC/DPPC mixtures was either L\textit{β}' or P\textit{β}', depending on composition and temperature. However, our DOPC/DPPC gel phases have very clear WAXS signatures, and we only saw evidence of an untilted (L\textit{β}-like) phase.

Fig. 2 shows unoriented WAXS data for equimolar DOPC/DPPC at 25°C. Narrow (gel) and broad (fluid) peaks are clearly discernable in the data for this system. In contrast, in another study (Chen et al. 2007) the broad fluid-phase WAXS peak was not observed for this same lipid mixture. The data shown in Fig. 2 are a best-case scenario for collecting high lipid signal to noise (minimal 1 : 1 water : lipid mass ratio, entire beamline under vacuum). Usually, in order to ensure full hydration and mixing of unoriented samples, we make samples with mass ratios 5 : 1 to 10 : 1 water : lipid, closer to the 4 : 1 ratio used in the other study (Chen et al. 2007). Under these conditions, the broad WAXS fluid peak can be obscured because of increase in the high-\textit{q} water scattering near \textit{q} = 2.0 Å\textsuperscript{-1}. Oriented samples avoid this excess water problem and, more importantly, they also give additional information about the chain orientation/tilt.

Fig. 3 shows that the structure of 0.10/0.90 DOPC/DPPC is sensitive to hydration/equilibration. After first loading the sample into the hydration chamber, the chains are tilted, as in pure gel phase DPPC (Fig. 3A). The equatorial and tilted peaks are still observable after 3.5 h (Fig. 3B), but only an equatorial peak is observable after the sample equilibrated overnight at high humidity (Fig. 3C). The transformation is not simply hydration-dependent, as the data shown in Fig. 3B,C have nearly equal \textit{D} spacings, showing that equilibration time is also a factor. Furthermore, this transformation is reversible by reducing the relative humidity as seen in Fig. 3D. Samples of 0.15/0.85 and 0.05/0.95 DOPC/DPPC...
mixtures exhibited similar behavior. However, the time for disappearance of the tilted peak occurred faster for the larger DOPC concentrations (less than 3 h for the 0.15/0.85 DOPC/DPPC sample). Dependence of gel-phase structure on hydration conditions has been studied in great detail for pure DMPC (Smith et al. 1990; Tristram-Nagle et al. 2002), where dehydration reduces the chain tilt as well as changing the azimuthal in-plane direction of the tilt. In contrast, in Fig. 3 dehydration increases the chain tilt and there is no evidence for change in tilt direction.

Based on previous reports (Lentz et al. 1976; Veatch and Keller 2003), 0.10/0.90 DOPC/DPPC at 25°C should be just within the gel-fluid coexistence region. If so, the gel phase observed for this mixture should have the same properties as the gel phase in the equimolar mixture. For instance, Fig. 3A, if it represented the hydrated, equilibrium structure, would be inconsistent with Fig. 1B. These results show that the gel phase bilayer structure can be strongly dependent on equilibration time and hydration, and so it is important to use a chamber capable of retaining high humidity over a long time if oriented sample data are to be compared with other measurements taken in excess water conditions.

Even smaller amounts of DOPC also remove the chain tilt from DPPC bilayers, as we have verified for 0.05/0.95 DOPC/DPPC (data not shown). Presumably, there is some smaller DOPC concentration, call it $X_{\text{tilt}}$, below which there is a chain tilt. It is interesting to compare to the effect of DOPC on DSPC lipid which also forms the $L_{\beta'}$ phase. According to previous measurements (Zhao et al. 2007), equimolar DOPC/DSPC consists of a tilted gel phase coexisting with a fluid phase. However, for this mixture, the gel/fluid boundary is thought to be even closer to pure DSPC. We therefore suggest that $X_{2\text{phase}} < X_{\text{tilt}}$, so that addition of DOPC to DSPC causes phase separation before it eliminates the average chain tilt, whereas in DPPC phase separation requires more DOPC in the gel phase and this additional DOPC eliminates the average chain tilt.

Figure 2. A. Unoriented WAXS data for a fully hydrated sample of equimolar DOPC/DPPC prepared from a lyophilized powder with a 1 : 1 water : lipid mass ratio. Data collected with setup MLV 2 as described in Materials and Methods. B. Corresponding $I(q)$ plot integrated over the entire image.

Figure 3. Time series of oriented WAXS data for 0.10/0.90 DOPC/DPPC after loading the sample into the chamber and beginning hydration at time $t = 0$: A. $t = 30$ min., $D = 60.9 \text{ Å}$; B. $T = 3.5$ h, $D = 63.8 \text{ Å}$; C. $T = 18$ h, $D = 63.5 \text{ Å}$; D. after blowing a weak stream of dry helium over the sample, $D = 61.0 \text{ Å}$. All rotating anode data.
Small amounts of Chol cause dramatic changes in chain packing and orientation

Fig. 4 shows that small concentrations of Chol have a drastic effect on chain packing and orientation in DPPC bilayers at 25°C. As previously discussed in connection with Figs. 1A and 4A, reminds us that DPPC is in the tilted Lβ’ phase. For a 0.02/0.98 Chol/DPPC mixture, two clearly separated WAXS peaks are still observable as seen in Fig. 4B; however, for 0.07/0.93 Chol/DPPC Fig. 4C shows only an equatorial concentration of intensity, suggesting that the average tilt of the chains is zero. Fig. 4D shows for comparison oriented WAXS data for DPPE, which has a single equatorial peak (at \( d = 4.12 \) Å), in agreement with McIntosh (1980) that DPPE is in the untilted Lβ phase at 25°C.

This untilting with addition of Chol is similar to the untilting that occurs when small amounts of DOPC are added to DPPC. In the latter case, the untilting is not associated with the phase coexistence that occurs at higher concentrations of DOPC, so it is also not necessary to associate the untilting of chains at low concentrations of Chol with a two phase coexistence region.

While not as definitive as data from oriented samples, the WAXS data from unoriented MLVs in Fig. 5 also show that the narrow (2,0) peak and broader (1,1) peak, which are characteristic of the Lβ’ phase, are gradually replaced by a single broader peak with the addition of Chol. An advantage of MLV data is that the lamellar D spacing may easily be obtained for samples that are always fully hydrated. The D spacing data in Fig. 6 are also consistent with dramatic changes that occur at

---

**Figure 4.** Linear grayscale CCD images as described in Fig. 1. A. gel phase (Lβ) DPPC (\( D = 61.8 \) Å); B. 0.02/0.98 Chol/DPPC (\( D = 63.1 \) Å); C. 0.07/0.93 Chol/DPPC (\( D = 82.9 \) Å); D. DPPE (\( D = 60.4 \) Å), all at 25°C. All rotating anode data.

**Figure 5.** Intensity I(q) plots from unoriented Chol/DPPC MLVs at room temperature (24°C). Data collected with setup MLV 1 as described in Materials and Methods.

**Figure 6.** Lamellar repeat D is plotted versus mole fraction Chol for unoriented MLV samples of Chol/DPPC at 24°C. Filled circles and open circles represent two different data sets. Data collected with setup MLV 1 as described in Materials and Methods.
low concentrations of Chol. The 20 Å increase in \( D \) that occurs for \( X_{\text{Chol}} = 0.08 \) has been attributed to ~7 Å increase in bilayer thickness, which is consistent with the removal of chain tilt, and ~13 Å increase in the water spacing (Ladbrooke et al. 1968). This remarkable increase in water spacing, followed by the more gradual decrease in \( D \) at higher concentration, requires major changes in the interactions between bilayers, and the swelling has been attributed to either a decrease in van der Waals attraction between bilayers or an increase in repulsion (Rand et al. 1980). It may also be noted that, while low concentrations of Chol and DOPC both remove DPPC chain tilt, the effect on \( D \) is very different; all of the DOPC/DPPC mixtures we studied (both unoriented and oriented samples) had lamellar repeats in the 63–64 Å range.

The HWHM of the scattering peak is inversely related to the correlation length, and therefore it reports on the positional ordering in the sample. For the DPPC and DPPE images shown in Fig. 4A and D, the HWHM for an \( I(q) \) plot integrated over a \( 5° < \phi < 10° \) range was 0.04 Å\(^{-1}\). Previous high-resolution WAXS data collected on unoriented MLV samples of gel-phase DPPC indicate that the true HWHM\(_{\text{intrinsc}}\) of the (2,0) peak is ~0.002 Å\(^{-1}\) (Sun et al. 1994). For our resolution-limited data HWHM\(_{\text{intrinsc}}\) was estimated as:

\[
\text{HWHM}_{\text{intrinsc}} = (\text{HWHM}_{\text{obs}}^2 - \text{HWHM}_{\text{res}}^2)^{1/2}
\]

where HWHM\(_{\text{obs}}\) is the measured value and HWHM\(_{\text{res}}\) is the resolution limit obtained from our gel phase DPPC (2,0) peak. This gives HWHM\(_{\text{intrinsc}}\) = 0.06 Å\(^{-1}\) for 0.07/0.93 Chol/DPPC, which is ~30 times larger than the non-resolution limited value for pure DPPC. So small amounts of Chol not only cause untilting of the chains but also introduce disorder into the regular packing of the chains in gel-phase DPPC.

The dependence on \( \phi \) (defined in Fig. 1) of the oriented WAXS data gives information about acyl chain orientation. Fig. 7 shows \( I(\phi) \) plots of the WAXS images shown in Fig. 4, which help identify the intensity maximum, from which a tilt angle can be calculated. (Note that intensities at small angles (below \( \phi \approx 5° \)) are decreased greatly due to absorption artifacts (Mills et al. 2008a.) For 0.02/0.98 Chol/DPPC, the relative intensity of the (1, 1) peak vs. the (2,0) peak is diminished in comparison with pure DPPC. In addition, the location of the maximum decreases by roughly 1° compared to pure DPPC, for which the tilt angle has been reported to be 32° (Tristram-Nagle et al. 1993), indicating that the chains are still tilted for at least some part of the sample at nearly the same angle as in pure DPPC. Interestingly, for 0.035/0.965 Chol/DPPC, the chain tilt might be changing to an \( L_\beta'F \) state with chains tilting between nearest neighbors (Smith et al. 1990). For 0.07/0.93 Chol/DPPC, there is no obvious maximum at a nonzero \( \phi \) value, indicating that no part of the sample consists of well-correlated, tilted chains.

The effect of Chol on gel phase lipids has been reported using x-ray scattering before (Ladbrooke et al. 1968; McIntosh 1978; Rand et al. 1980; Hui and He 1983; Needham et al. 1988; Lemmich et al. 1997). It was concluded, as we do, that the large increase in lamellar repeat (Ladbrooke et al. 1968; McIntosh 1978) and the disappearance (Hui and He 1983) of the characteristic wide angle pattern in unoriented wide angle scattering data (see Fig. 5) indicates a loss of chain tilt with the addition of Chol.

The language of “chain tilt” is clear when referring to well-ordered gel phases, but it is confusing when referring to disordered phases. As an extreme example, in the \( L_d \) phase, the average angle \( \beta \) at which the chains tilt with respect to the membrane normal is zero (i.e. the director points along the membrane normal), but the chains are orientationally disordered, and so \( \langle |\beta| \rangle \neq 0 \). Our oriented WAXS data indicate that the average tilt angle, \( \langle |\beta| \rangle \), in 0.07/0.93 Chol/DPPC is zero, as in pure \( L_\beta \) phase DPPE. However, the HWHM is broader for 0.07/0.93 Chol/DPPC compared with DPPE, in agreement with previous studies of Chol/DMPC (below \( T_m \) for DMPC), which described these bilayers as a “very defective lattice” (Hui and He 1983) or “weakly solid” (Needham et al. 1988). In addition to showing that 0.07/0.93 Chol/DPPC is more positionally disordered than DPPE, the oriented WAXS data show that 0.07/0.93 Chol/DPPC is more orientationally disordered, based on the broader \( I(\phi) \) distribution (see

---

**Figure 7.** \( I(\phi) \) plots (intensities integrated over a \( q = 0.8 \) to 1.8 Å\(^{-1}\) range) for the images shown in Fig. 4, plus a sample with \( X_{\text{Chol}} = 0.035 \) (\( D = 77.8 \) Å).
Fig. 7), indicating a broader distribution of chain tilt angles. So, although the chain orientation director may point along the membrane normal (i.e. $<\beta> = 0$) for both these samples, $<|\beta|>$ appears to be greater for 0.07/0.93 Chol/DPPC.

Gradual changes in chain packing observed at moderate Chol concentrations ($X_{\text{Chol}} = 0.07$ to 0.40)

In comparison with the dramatic change in DPPC chain packing and orientation which occurs for $X_{\text{Chol}}$ between 0 and 0.07 (seen in Fig. 4), Fig. 8 shows that the changes in the WAXS data are more gradual for higher Chol concentrations. In agreement with previous reports (Vist and Davis 1990; Scheidt et al. 2005), for $X_{\text{Chol}}$ up to 0.40, the lipid maintains a high degree of orientational order, as is evident by the concentration of the scattering intensity near $\phi = 0$ for all the Chol concentrations shown in Fig. 8. However, Fig. 9A shows that HWHM$_{\text{intrinsic}}$ increases steadily for $X_{\text{Chol}} = 0.07$ to 0.40, indicating that Chol gradually decreases the positional ordering. The HWHM$_{\text{intrinsic}}$ of 0.18 Å$^{-1}$ in the
Interpretation of x-ray data regarding phase coexistence

As previously formulated (Mills et al. 2008b), there are several x-ray criteria for phase coexistence. These criteria include: 1 – the observation of two lamellar D spacings and 2 – the observation of two WAXS d spacings. Either of these traditional criteria is sufficient but not necessary for phase coexistence. As already presented, in the equimolar mixture of DOPC and DPPC at 25°C for which GUV images clearly show coexisting gel and fluid domains (Veatch and Keller 2003), we only observed a single lamellar repeat, so x-ray criterion 1 is not necessary for phase coexistence. Reasons for the non-necessity of criterion 1 are that a phase-separated sample can have a single lamellar repeat either because the two phases have similar D spacings or else because the domains do not align across bilayers (Rand et al. 1980; Mills et al. 2008b). For the same equimolar DOPC/DPPC sample, the oriented WAXS data clearly showed a sharp peak (gel) and broad peak (L_d), so x-ray criterion 2 is sufficient to establish phase coexistence. However, this criterion may also not be necessary; for example, in the case of L_d/L_o phase coexistence, only a single WAXS peak may be observed because the scattering from both phases is diffuse and overlapping. Because these two traditional x-ray criteria can give false negative diagnoses of phase coexistence, we previously developed a third x-ray criterion involving fitting of I(q) data (Mills et al. 2008b). Unfortunately, that third criterion is only applicable to liquid-liquid coexistence and should not be used on gel phases. In this section, we discuss our data and the literature with regard to the two traditional x-ray criteria and we introduce a fourth criterion.

Previous studies (Rand et al. 1980; Meyer et al. 1997) have reported two lamellar repeats (criterion 1) in Chol/DPPC mixtures, but for X_{Chol} = 0.03 to 0.10, much lower than the Vist and Davis coexistence region. In Chol/DPPC mixtures, we observed only a single lamellar repeat in both oriented and fully hydrated MLV samples. Engelman and Rothman (1972) reported two WAXS peaks in Chol/DPPC mixtures in the range of X_{Chol} = 0.20 to 0.33. In contrast, in oriented WAXS Chol/DPPC samples, we only observed a single WAXS peak. Therefore, in our hands, neither of the two traditional criteria was sufficient to predict phase coexistence. Together with the observation of uniform fluorescence in microscopy images of GUVs (Feigenson and Buboltz 2001; Veatch and Keller 2005), one might conclude that there is no phase coexistence in Chol/DPPC mixtures at 25°C. However, neither of the traditional x-ray criteria is necessary, and the fluorescence microscopy can only conclude that phase coexistence would occur on length scales smaller than microns.

As a possible reason for not observing two wide angle peaks, Hui and He (1983) noted that their samples were measured immediately after preparation, which may not allow sufficient time for a gel phase to form. However, we observed no change in the WAXS pattern for a 0.15/0.85 Chol/DPPC sample after 3 days at high humidity, indicating that insufficient equilibration time is not the reason we fail to observe two WAXS peaks in a region where other measurements suggest gel/fluid phase separation. Therefore,
our WAXS data are inconsistent with a fluid coexisting with a highly ordered gel phase. However, as pointed out previously (Clarke et al. 2006), for a "disordered gel" phase in coexistence with an L\textsubscript{o} phase, one might expect the WAXS data to overlap, as in the case of diffuse WAXS data from two coexisting liquid phases.

Instead of simply looking for a narrow and broad peak in the WAXS pattern, we have devised a different test whether the Vist and Davis phase diagram could be consistent with our WAXS data. If there is phase separation in the reported range of \(X_{\text{Chol}} = 0.10\) to 0.25 (within the Vist and Davis range with error), then our 0.15/0.85 Chol/DPPC oriented WAXS images should be the same as the sum of 2/3 of our 0.10/0.90 Chol/DPPC and 1/3 of our 0.25/0.75 Chol/DPPC WAXS images, if we assume that the amount of material is equal in the two samples. Even if the assumption is incorrect, as it generally is in x-ray studies, a mass weighted linear combination is still required to be the same as the 0.15/0.85 Chol/DPPC image; this application of the lever rule to WAXS images establishes a new criterion 4. Fig. 11A shows the original image and Fig. 11B shows a fit of a linear combination of the latter two images to a portion of the former image which contains most of the WAXS intensity that is free of artifacts due to absorption and LAXS/lamellar scattering. The fitted image looks similar to the directly measured one. However, this comparison does not focus on the differences. Such differences would be expected to be small for states with similar properties. Nevertheless, any statistically significant small differences from a strict linear combination suffices to prove that phase coexistence does not exist over the range of the three concentrations. The logical equivalent is that criterion 4 is necessary for phase coexistence in the concentration region in which it is applied. Fig. 11C shows the differences between the best fitted linear combination and the data for the 0.15/0.85 Chol/DPPC sample. There is a large, statistically significant, region consisting of 2500 pixels centered at \(q_x = 1.4\ \text{Å}^{-1}, q_z = 0.1\ \text{Å}^{-1}\) where all the differences are positive surrounded by two other large regions where all the differences are negative. This is quantified in Fig. 11D which shows that the differences are statistically significant even in a one-dimensional plot. Other regions where there is less WAXS scattering have uniform differences. While this kind of analysis must be repeated on additional data sets, including controls on samples like DOPC/DPPC where there is definitely two phase coexistence, this is the only type of x-ray result that can show that phase coexistence does not occur in a region such as \(X_{\text{Chol}} = 0.10\) to 0.25 in DPPC at 25°C. Unlike criteria 1 and 2 which are sufficient criteria for phase coexistence, this criterion 4 is sufficient to establish non-coexistence of phases in the concentration range to which it is applied.

**Summary**

We have critically examined x-ray evidence pertinent to the phase behavior of DOPC/DPPC and Chol/DPPC mixtures. Our WAXS data for DOPC/DPPC support the two phase coexistence observed by fluorescence microscopy. Our WAXS data for DOPC/DPPC do not support the traditional x-ray criterion 1 (two coexisting LAXS \(D\) spacings) or criterion 2 (two coexisting WAXS \(d\) spacings) for phase coexistence, but we have emphasized that the observance of only one LAXS \(D\) spacing and of only one apparent WAXS \(d\) spacing, while necessary criteria for a single phase, are not sufficient to rule out phase coexistence. However, the greatest change in our WAXS data takes place in a range of \(X_{\text{Chol}} = 0\) to 0.07, where the system transforms from a tilted gel phase with consider-
able short range order to a more disordered, untilted solid state. For \( X_{\text{Chol}} > 0.07 \) the changes in the WAXS data are much smaller. In contrast, phase diagrams in the literature predict phase coexistence in Chol concentration ranges with a minimum value greater than \( X_{\text{Chol}} = 0.07 \). We have introduced a new criterion that involves global comparison of WAXS data at three concentrations. At this time, our result using criterion 4 implies that phase coexistence does not encompass the concentration range from \( X_{\text{Chol}} = 0.10 \) to 0.25 in Chol/DPPC mixtures at 25°C, and this result is consistent with the traditional, but inconclusive, criteria 1 and 2.
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